
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



11 JAN -5 PM 2: 47

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CASE NO. 10CR483 CUTHUPN DISTRICT COURT

Plaintiff,

465

vs.

JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL

JOSE LUIS GOMEZ-RAMIREZ,

Defendant.

IT APPEARING that the defendant is now entitled to be discharged for the reason that:

<u>X</u>	an indictment has been filed in another case against the defendant and the Court has granted the motion of the Government for dismissal of this case, without prejudice; or
	the Court has dismissed the case for unnecessary delay; or
	the Court has granted the motion of the Government for dismissal; or
	the Court has granted the motion of the defendant for a judgment of acquittal; or
	a jury has been waived, and the Court has found the defendant not guilty; or
	the jury has returned its verdict, finding the defendant not guilty;
X	of the offense(s) charged in the Information:

IT IS THEREFORE ADJUDGED that the defendant is hereby discharged.

DATED: JANUARY 5, 2011

WILLIAM V. GALLO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE